Translation

PATENT COORERATION TREATY



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2120/1-PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	ER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
International application No. PCT/EP2003/007417	International filing date (day/n 09 July 2003 (09.07		Priority date (day/month/year) 10 July 2002 (10.07.2002)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n C23G 1/36							
Applicant UVK ENGINEERING GMBH							
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. This REPORT consists of a total of							
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: I							
	on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report					
10 February 2004 (10.02.2	2004)	UI Oct	tober 2004 (01.10.2004)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authoriz	ed officer					
Facsimile No.	Telephor	ne No.	·				

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International applicat PCT/EP2003/007417

I. Basis	of the re	port					
1. With	regard to	the cloments of the international appli	cation:*		ļ		
	the inte	national application as originally filed					
	the desc	ription:					
	pages	·	1, 3-15		as originally filed		
	pages				, filed with the demand		
	pages	2, 2a, 2b	, filed with the le	tter of 19 July 2	004 (19.07.2004)		
Ø	the clair	ne.		•			
	pages		1-25		, as originally filed		
	pages		, as amended	(together with any stat	ement under Article 19		
	pages				,		
	pages		filed with the le	ner of			
	the drav						
	pages	5	1/3-3/3		, as originally filed		
	pages				, filed with the demand		
	pages		, filed with the le				
· —							
י ווו	the seque	nce listing part of the description:			as originally filed		
					filed with the demand		
	pages		filed with the le	tter of			
	pages						
l shair	n tama ati A P	the language, all the elements marke al application was filed, unless otherw s were available or furnished to this A	ise minicated anact and went	•	m the language in which is:		
	the land	ruage of a translation furnished for the	purposes of international search	(under Rule 23.1(b)).			
ΙĦ		range of publication of the international					
	the lan	guage of the translation furnished for	the purposes of international pr	eliminary examination	(under Rule 55.2 and/		
3. With	regard minary ex	to any nucleotide and/or amino a amination was carried out on the basis	cid sequence disclosed in the of the sequence listing:	e international applic	ation, the international		
	contain	ed in the international application in w	rinen form.				
		gether with the international application					
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.						
	furnish	ed subsequently to this Authority in co	mputer readable form.				
	interna	stement that the subsequently furnitional application as filed has been furn	ished.				
		tement that the information recorded mished.	in computer readable form is	identical to the writt	en sequence listing has		
4.	The am	endments have resulted in the cancella	tion of:				
		he description, pages	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		he claims, Nos.					
		he drawings, sheets/fig					
5. 🗌	This par	ort has been established as if (some of the disclosure as filed, as indicated in	f) the amendments had not been	n made, since they have 2(c)).**	e bean considered to go		
in th	acement s nis report 70-17).	heets which have been furnished to the as "originally filed" and are not	e receiving Office in response to annexed to this report since to	o an invitation under A hey do not contain a			
** Any i	replacem	nt sheet containing such amendments	must be referred to under item i	and annexed to this re	eport.		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box I) (July 1998)

:)

Reasoned statement under Articlo 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-15, 17, 18, 23	YES		
	Claims	16, 19-22, 24, 25	NO		
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	4-13, 15, 17, 18, 23	YES		
	Claims	1-3, 14, 16, 19-22, 24, 25	NO		
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims .	1-25	YES		
	Claims		NO		

2. Citations and explanations

 $(\)$

- This report makes reference to the following documents:
 - D1: KLADNIG W F: 'INDUSTRIELLE OXIDROHSTOFFE

 HERSTELLUNG NACH DEM ANDRITZ-RUTHNER
 SPRUEHROSTVERFAHREN', SPRECHSAAL, VERLAG DES

 SPRECHSAAL MULLER UND SCHMIDT, COBURG, DE,

 Vol. 124, No. 11/12, 1 November 1991 (1991-11
 01), pages 748-754, XP000272593, ISSN: 03410676
 - D2: EP-A-0 578 537 (LORRAINE LAMINAGE), 12 January 1994 (1994-01-12)
- 2. Document D1 discloses a method for preparing metal pickling solutions and at the same time producing iron oxides poor in silicic acid. The pickling solution is first neutralised with metal scrap (conversion of the free acids into the metal salt form), silicic acid is separated, the solution is concentrated in the "preconcentrator" and supplied to a spray calcination stage. Document D1 relates mainly to the production of iron oxides, but also clearly discloses methods for preparing pickling solutions. It is repeatedly stated in that document

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (January 1994)

()

i)

that the metal salt solution used is a pickling solution and that one of the advantages of the method is the recycling of hydrochloric acid (see D1, "description of the method", "products for the ferrite industry", and figure 1).

- 2.1 Document D2 discloses a method for purifying used pickling solutions. The pickling solution is first neutralised with a metal and silicic acid is separated. The purified solution is supplied to a spray calcination stage (see column 1, lines 11-23; the claims; and example 2).
- 2.2 Both documents fail to disclose precisely how the rinsing and used air scrubbing waters produced in the pickling installation are treated. The methods as per claims 1-15 are therefore novel (PCT Article 33(2)).
- 2.3 However, document D1, in particular, discloses devices as per claims 16, 19-22, 24 and 25. A device does not become novel in that it is used to implement a novel method. A device, such as the device used in D1, does not differ from a device in which rinsing water or used air scrubbing water are also treated. The subject matter of these claims is therefore not novel.

Document D1 does not disclose an installation for separating the pickling solution or an installation for neutralising rinsing water or used air scrubbing water. The subject matter of claims 17, 18 and 23 is therefore novel.

3. The objective problem solved by the methods as per

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (January 1994)

()

claims 1-3 and 14 can be considered to be, in view of the disclosure of documents D1 or D2, to be that of proposing a method which also permits the treatment of industrial effluents.

Both documents D1 and D2 fail to disclose precisely how the rinsing water and used air scrubbing water produced in the pickling installation are treated. However, it would be clear to a person skilled in the art that this type of industrial effluent can be subjected to the same methods as the pickling solution. The subject matter of claims 1-3 and 14 is therefore not inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).

4. The subject matter of claims 4-13, 15, 17, 18 and 23, however, is considered inventive. These claims disclose method steps (and the corresponding devices) which make it more economical to treat together the pickling solution and the industrial effluents, or which permit the treatment of special steel pickling solutions. Documents D1 and D2 do not suggest the treatment of special steel pickling solutions and do not propose the additional method steps.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (January 1994)